Diethylstilbestrol in the prevention and treatment of complications of pregnancy

Abstract

The basis for the use of stilbestrol in pregnancy is briefly reviewed, together with the indications and the dosage schedule recommended. Complete case reports on 632 pregnant women, to whom diethylstilbestrol was given largely for the indications and in the amounts recommended by us, have been analyzed. They have been divided according to the indications for therapy, i.e., threatened abortion (219 cases), abortion prophylaxis (272 cases) and prophylaxis against late pregnancy toxemia, intrauterine death, and premature delivery (98 cases). Although we have not recommended stilbestrol as a definitive measure in later pregnancy, 24 patients were so treated and are considered separately. Nineteen cases that fell into none of these categories are omitted.
Seventy-eight per cent of the patients who were treated for bleeding between the sixth and twenty-first weeks carried to twenty-eight weeks, and 72 per cent had living and well babies. The highest spontaneous cure rate reported in the literature is 50 per cent. Eighty-three per cent of the patients who were given stilbestrol prophylactically against abortion carried to twenty-eight weeks, and 78 per cent had living and well babies. In the 127 cases who had two to five consecutive abortions prior to the one in which stilbestrol was given, the fetal salvage 77 per cent. In each group it was very significantly higher than the spontaneous cure rate as established by Malpas and Eastman. In the total 491 cases treated for abortion the incidence of abortion and of later pregnancy complications was higher when the dosage schedule was not followed than it was in the group as a whole.

In many of the patients treated prophylactically for late pregnancy complications it was impossible to evaluate the effect of stilbestrol therapy, and this part of our report must be considered preliminary. Twenty-two of them, however, had had three or more previous obstetric abnormalities, 27 had had two or more premature deliveries, 17 had known essential hypertension with bad obstetric histories, and nine had diabetes, six of these with bad obstetric histories. Considering the past obstetric histories of these patients, the course and outcome on stilbestrol gave good indication that the administration of this drug as a preventive measure may be expected to reduce the incidence of those complications of later pregnancy associated with a premature deficiency of the placental steroid hormones, estrogen and progesterone. There was even stronger evidence that the onset of these complications would be postponed and the fetal mortality reduced. The results of the use of stilbestrol as a definitive measure in later pregnancy were not promising.